

businesses that contribute directly to litter and not to all of these businesses large and small all around the state that have nothing to do with the litter problem. Wouldn't that be fair?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I think that is what we are trying to do in the bill Senator Hoagland. As you can see the \$100,000 requirement gets you into a pretty good sized category right there. That eliminates about 90% of the problem or concerns that were involved with the bill before. I think that you should just vote against the bill quite frankly.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Let me just say this in conclusion Mr. Speaker, Senator Culland and I have a small amendment up here that we want a chance to debate tonight. We want a chance to debate it briefly. There were 22 people that voted for this amendment earlier and a lot of people didn't understand what it did. Some people were not here and we think the amendment is a litigimate one and we would just like five minutes to vote it up or down. What that amendment does it says you can't be taxed under this bill unless you directly contribute to litter. When we proposed that idea the lobbyists said look, if you put that amendment on it it is going to cut the revenues in half. So in response to that we doubled the tax. So we are doubling the tax and we are applying it only to people who directly contribute to litter and I think that we can vote on that amendment real quick. I would ask Senator DeCamp to withdraw his motion of suspending the rules so that we could have a quick vote on this amendment to see if maybe there are three more people that support this concept and then we can vote on the bill. Thank you Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Kahle.

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. Speaker and members whether you like the present litter bill we have or not, and I'm sure that many of you would rather have a bottle bill, but I think that this language would make this litter bill better. As I remember the discussion in the Ag and Environment hearing that we had on it, it would exempt more businesses that do not cause litter or not require them to file and pay, but it would add those that do provide products of litter. I think that it is a good addition to what we already have if you don't like the present litter bill of course you are not going to like it much better but it would bring in more money and it will provide the funding that is needed to make it work, if it is ever going to work, so I would ask you to go ahead and move this bill on and hope that we do get some recycling started, education to stop littering and also let the environmental people get on with their program. Thank you.